Quote sandwich

**In Richard Connell’s short story “The Most Dangerous Game”, Rainsford will continue hunting.** **We can see that he will continue hunting through indirect characterization at the conclusion of the story. On page 36, Rainsford is hiding in the bedroom and he challenges Zaroff a death-match. This proves my thesis because it suggests that Rainsford has decided hunting people is fun. At the beginning, he refused to hunt because it was murder. Now, though, he has no problem hunting the person who hunted him. This illustrates to me that Rainsford has changed, and not for the better. He has learned what Zaroff learned: hunting people has the greatest thrill. Therefore, in “The Most Dangerous Game”, Rainsford will continue hunting.**

1. thesis statement – title of the work, the author, and your idea
2. specific detail – concrete detail using literary terminology – context
3. description/example – specific example from the text – use page numbers.
4. Explanation – HOW does this example SHOW your idea to be true?
   1. Shows
   2. Suggests
   3. Illustrates
5. Conclusion – sums up

Will Rainsford continue hunting?

No.

**In “The Most Dangerous Game”, by Richard Connell, I believe Rainsford will not continue hunting.** **I know this because of the indirect characterization of Rainsford. When Zaroff admits to Rainsford that he hunts men on page 27, Rainsford at first thinks he’s joking, but then objects, calling it murder. This proves my thesis, that Rainsford will never hunt again. His reaction to hunting people SUGGESTS that he disagrees with what Zaroff loves most. Rainsford starts the story not caring about the hunted. After his objection to Zaroff, he IS hunted, showing that he knows how it feels to be hunted. His feelings here ILLUSTRATES that, when he gets home, he will never hunt again. Therefore, I believe he will not continue hunting.**